Visit membership area

COPE position (i)

Share ⬆

Last reviewed: 13 February 2023

Authorship and AI tools

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) tools such as ChatGPT or Large Language Models in research publications is expanding rapidly. COPE joins organisations, such as WAME ⬈ and the JAMA Network ⬈ among others, to state that AI tools cannot be listed as an author of a paper.

(Authorship and contributorship)

Cite this as:
COPE Council. COPE position - Authorship and AI - English.
https://doi.org/10.24318/cCVRZBms ⬈
© 2024 Committee on Publication Ethics (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 ⬈)
https://publicationethics.org

COPE position

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) tools such as ChatGPT or Large Language Models in research publications is expanding rapidly. COPE joins organisations, such as **WAME** ⊠ and the **JAMA Network** ⊠ among others, to state that AI tools cannot be listed as an author of a paper.

AI tools cannot meet the requirements for authorship as they cannot take responsibility for the submitted work. As non-legal entities, they cannot assert the presence or absence of conflicts of interest nor manage copyright and license agreements.

Authors who use AI tools in the writing of a manuscript, production of images or graphical elements of the paper, or in the collection and analysis of data, must be transparent in disclosing in the Materials and Methods (or similar section) of the paper how the AI tool was used and which tool was used. Authors are fully responsible for the content of their manuscript, even those parts produced by an AI tool, and are thus liable for any breach of publication ethics.

Further information

⌃ Further reading

- **Artificial intelligence in decision making** COPE discussion document
- **Artificial Intelligence** COPE lightning talk
- **AI and fake papers**, COPE Forum discussion
- **Artificial intelligence and authorship** COPE editorial
- **The challenge of AI chatbots for journal editors** guest editorial

⌃ Change history

20 August 2024

- Version 1 published

Comments

Member comments will be published immediately. Non-member comments will be reviewed before publishing, to ensure they are respectful and relevant to the topic.

Please read the COPE Code of Conduct for events and discussions for expected behaviour during events and when participating in online discussions on the COPE website.

Sign up or login to post comments

You must be logged in to leave a comment on the COPE website. If you are not a member of COPE you can register to create a guest account.

| Create a guest account | Login |

Topic discussions   Open to all   View all

Emerging AI dilemmas in scholarly publishing

Here we discuss key accountability measures, emerging tools and guidance to ensure ethical standards in an environment increasingly shaped by AI in 2025.

Last reply 28 Jun 2025   2 comments

'oin the discussion

Declaring funding sources for research

What are the best practices for publishing complete funding statements?

Last reply 21 Apr 2025   3 comments

Join the discussion

Revisiting expressions of concern

In what situations are Expressions of
Concern appropriate? What is their
purpose, potential challenges and how
should they be issued?

Last reply 18 Mar 2025   2 comments

Join the discussion

Related guidance

View all guidance   →

Use the arrows to browse related guidance

Discussion document

Artificial intelligence (AI) in decision making

Learn about the ways that AI can enhance decision-processes in publishing while
addressing ethical concerns about accountability and transparency.

Topic discussion

Artificial intelligence (AI) and fake papers

The rise of AI in research paper production raises concerns about integrity and
fraud, prompting the need for better detection tools and strategies against
authorship issues and bias.

Artificial intelligence and peer review

In this session, the state of artificial intelligence (AI) in peer review is discussed.

○ ○

Discover COPE Focus

View all COPE Focus →

COPE Focus brings together our most important resources on a topic, providing up to date, carefully chosen collections.

COPE Focus on artificial intelligence

The use of AI in the publication process is intended to increase the speed of decision making during the review process and reduce the burden on editors, reviewers, and authors. The adoption of AI raises key ethical issues around accountability, responsibility, and transparency.

Read more →

Committee on Publication Ethics

COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics) brings together all those involved in scholarly research and its publication, to strengthen the network of support, education and debate in publication ethics.

Sign up for newsletter

Contact us

Press

Follow us